

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS PO BOLLSO Alexandra, Vagnus 2203-(156 www.upto.gov

APPLICATION NO	į	TUNG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/701,261 02/27/29		02/27/2001	Klaus Akilles Lunden	0459-0495P	8716
2292	7590	05 13/2003			
		KOLASCH & BI	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 74° FALLS CHU		A 22040-0747	FORTUNA. JOSE A		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1731		
			DATE MA(LED: 05/13/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				({1}<			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	•			
•		09/701,261	LUNDEN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit				
		José A Fortuna	1731				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover s	heet with the correspondence ac	ldress			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reput of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period une to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuting received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the provided by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the provided by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the provided by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the provisions of the prov	136(a). In no event, howeverally within the statutory minim will apply and will expire SIX e, cause the application to b	er, may a reply be timely filed um of thirty (30) days will be considered timel ((6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this continued to the common of the	ly. :ommunication			
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2/2	<u>27/03</u> .					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ TI	his action is non-fina	al.				
3) <u> </u>	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under ion of Claims			ne merits is			
•	Claim(s) <u>24-47</u> is/are pending in the applicati	on					
4)[_]	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>43-45</u> is/are withdra		on				
5\□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Wit from considerati	511.				
·	Claim(s) <u>24-42,46 and 47</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirem	ent				
	ion Papers	or cicolion requirem	on.				
9)⊡	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b)⊡ objected	I to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	he drawing(s) be held	in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)∏ approved	b) disapproved by the Examir	ier.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.					
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 ا	J.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documen	its have been receiv	ed.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen	its have been receiv	ed in Application No				
* (3. Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International Bushes the attached detailed Office action for a lis	ureau (PCT Rule 17	7.2(a)).	Stage			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
á	a) The translation of the foreign language pr Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	ovisional application	n has been received.				
Attachmer		· •					
2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 N	nterview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No Notice of Informal Patent Application (PT Other:				

Application/Control Number: 09/701,261 Page 2

Art Unit: 1731

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: in page 8, lines 13-16, the unit of the porosity is different than the used throughout the specification, i.e., μ M/Pas instead of μ m/Pas, (capital M is used), are they the same units or the meaning is different? Note also that the values seem to be 100 times higher.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 4. Claims 24-42 and 46-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gill et al., US Patent No. 4,892,590.

Gill et al. teach a method of making paper and paper made thereof, in which a colloidal, precipitated calcium carbonate. (PCC), is added to the pulp in amounts up to 3%, see abstract and column 3, lines 44-48. Gill et al. teach that the surface area, (BET), of the PCC is between 10 to 200 m²/g, see column 3, lines 24-28, which is within the claimed range. Gill et al. teach the

Application/Control Number: 09/701,261 Page 3

Art Unit: 1731

combination of the PCC with other fillers, see column 3, lines 49-68. Gill et al. are silent with respect to the use of wood-containing pulp. However, Gill et al. do not teach that their invention can be used just a specific type of pulp and therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art would have reasonable expectation of success if Gill et al. invention is used in either wood-free or wood containing pulps.

Gill et al. do not teach the super calendering of the paper to make a SC, SC-A or SC-B nor newsprint, paper. However one of ordinary would have reasonable expectation of success if the base paper disclosed by the references is used to make any type of paper including Super Calendered papers, either SC-A or SC-B, or newsprint paper. As to the porosity of the paper, this seems to be either inherent to the paper disclosed by the references, since the addition amount is within the claimed range or at least the minor modifications to obtain the claimed porosity would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art. Note that optimizing result effective variables is within the levels of ordinary skill in the art, absent a showing of unexpected results.

Response to Arguments

- 5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 24-41 and 46-47 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 6. Applicant's arguments filed on February 27, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Art Unit: 1731

•

Applicants argue that Gill et al. do not teach the use of wood-containing pulp. The examiner contends that Gill et al. invention is not limited to any specific pulp and therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art would have reasonable expectation of success if Gill et al. invention is used in either wood-free or wood-containing pulps. Note that an invention is not limited by its example(s), but what it teaches to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Applicants also argue that Gill et al. teach a different purpose. While this is true, the range of addition of the colloidal PCC overlaps in both invention and therefore, the function of the PCC is inherently the same, see column 3, lines 44-48, where teach that the proportion of PCC to starch could be from 2:1 and 1:20 and that the starch is added between 0.5 to 1.5%. The latter translate, from the first ratio 1 to 3% of PCC. Note also that the products claims, such as claims 32-41, only require the use of the colloidal PCC, i.e., containing colloidal PCC, and therefore any amount reads over the claims.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to José Fortuna, whose telephone number is (703)305-7498. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 A.M. to 5:30 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven P. Griffin, can be reached on (703)308-1164. The fax number for this group is (703)305-7115.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0661.

When filing a FAX in group 1730, please indicate in the Header (upper right) "Official" for papers that are to be entered into the file, and "Unofficial" for draft documents and other

Art Unit: 1731

communication with the PTO that are not for entry into the file of the application. This will expedite processing of your papers.

José A. Fortuna May 12, 2003

JOSÉ FORTUNA PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 1731